

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,811	10/23/2003	Eiji Nogami	24-009-TB	5426	
25490 002132099 POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE: 101 RESTON, VA 20191			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FISHER, ABIGAIL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,811	NOGAMI, EIJI	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	ABIGAIL FISHER	1616	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
    - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
    - NOTE: See Explanation Below. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
  - how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to:
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10 and 14-21.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 11/12/08
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections are maintained for the reasons provided in the Final Office Action mailed on 9/9/08. Applicants argue that the present invention recite a water swellable gel forming layer that also contain a film forming agent and that the polymers taught by Yamamura are bioadhesive which are excluded by the instant claims. The examiner maintains that the "adhesive layer" taught by Yamamura comprises carboxyvinyl polymer and HPC. Carboxyvinyl polymer is a polymer claimed for the water swellable gel forming agent and HPC is taught in the instant specification as a film forming agent. Polyvinyl alcohol, which is a claimed species for the film forming agent is taught as a functional equivalent of HPC. Therefore, the examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to replace HPC with polyvinyl alcohol as both are taught by Yamamura as functional equivalents. Therefore, it is unclear how these polymers, which are the same as instantly taught and claimed are or can be different. layer. Yamamura teach the layer can comprise ethylcellulose or shellac and HPC. Ethylcellulose is taught as a water swellable gel-forming polymer in the instant specification. As mentioned above HPC is taught in the instant specification as a film forming agent. Therefore, it is unclear how this layer would not be the same as instantly claimed. Note MPEP 2112.02 (11): "Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705,709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Therefore, the rejection is maintained as applicants have not demonstrated how their invention is patentably distinct as the both devices comprise the same polymers.

Continuation of 13. Other: The information disclosure statement filed November 11 2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e) and because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.